

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/662,390	NAKASHIMA, HIROSHI
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1791

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Gallagher (Atty).

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 July 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claims were limited to the production of a cellulose film; also, the drying while tentering was clarified in claims 1 and 15; see attached Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)